ABSOLUTE RATING: Average

IMPROVEMENT RATING: Unsatisfactory

Number of Elementary schools with students like ours: 58.

The absolute ratings for those schools ranged from average to excellent. For improvement ratings, the range was from unsatisfactory to excellent.

RATINGS OVER A 4-YEAR PERIOD

Absolute Rating Average

Improvement Rating Unsatisfactory

2001 2002

2003

2004

(Definitions of School Rating Terms on Page 4)

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Our School

Schools With Students Like Ours









English/ **Mathematics** Language Arts

Mathematics

English/ Language Arts

Advanced







DEFINITIONS OF CRITICAL TERMS:

- Advanced Student performance exceeded expectations.
- **Proficient** Student performance met expectations.
- Basic Student performance met minimum performance expectations.
- Below Basic Student performance did not meet minimum performance expectations.

Science scores are to be reported on the 2004 School Report Card. Social studies scores are to be reported on the 2005 School Report Card.

PERCENT OF STUDENTS SCORING BASIC OR ABOVE ON THE PACT				
	English/			Social
Student Group	Language Arts	Math	Science	Studies
All students (n=285)	72.3	65.6	N/A	N/A
Students with disabilities other than				
Speech (n=15)	N/A	N/A		
Students without disabilities (n=268)	75.7	68.5		
Gender				
Male (n=151)	70.2	67.8		
Female (n=132)	75.8	63.2		
Ethnic Group				
African American (n=36)	58.3	37.8		
Hispanic (n=5)	N/A	N/A		
White (n=240)	75.4	70.1		
Other (n=2)	N/A	N/A		
Lunch Status Group				
Free/reduced-price Lunch (n=91)	52.7	47.3		
Pay for lunch (n=192)	82.3	74.5		

SCHOOL PROFILE INDICATORS OF SCHOOL PERFORMANCE

		Change	Schools	Median
	Our School	From Last Year	with Students like ours	Elementary School
SCHOOL				
 Dollars spent per student 	\$3,949	N/A	\$4,850	\$5,347
 Prime instructional time 	88.1%	Down from 89.1	% 90.9%	90.2%
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	21.6 to 1	N/A	20.1 to 1	18.7 to 1
STUDENTS (n=859)				
Attendance Rate	95.8%	Down from 96.2	% 96.5%	96.2%
 Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (ELA) off grade level 	0%	N/A	2.6%	4.1%
 Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (math) off grade level 	0%	N/A	2%	3.1%
 First graders who attended full day kindergarten 	97.6%	Up from 92.6%	94.7%	96.3%
 Meeting grade 1 and 2 readiness standards 	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Retention rate	1.9%	Up from 1.2%	2.4%	3.6%
TEACHERS (n=52)				
 Professional Development days per teacher 	10.7 Days	Up from 6.6	7.6 Days	7.6 days
Attendance Rate	93.4%	Down from 93.8	% 95.5%	95.1%
 Teachers with advanced degrees 	55.8%	Down from 58.3	% 54.9%	47.7%
Continuing contract teachers	88.5%	Down from 95.9	% 87.3%	83.8%
 Teachers with out-of-field permits 	0%	No change	0%	0.0%
Teachers returning from the previous school year	92.1%	Down from 94.1	% 89.1%	87.2%
Average teacher salary	\$39,400	Up 3.2%	\$38,872	\$37,520

SCHOOL FACTS

		Change From	Schools with Students	Median Elementary
C	ur School	Last Year	like ours	School
SCHOOL				
 Percentage of expenditures spent on teacher salaries 	77.5%	N/A	65.7%	65.3%
 Principal's years at the school 	2	N/A	5	4.0
 Parents attending conferences 	90.9%	N/A	98.7%	95.6%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	N/A	Good	Good
STUDENTS				
On academic plans	N/A	N/A	29.9%	43.1%
 On academic probation 	N/A	N/A	0%	0.0%
 Older than usual for grade 	0.6%	Up from 0.5%	0.7%	1.1%
 Suspended or expelled 	5	N/A	1	1
Gifted and talented	14.9%	Down from 17%	23.3%	11.5%
With disabilities other than speech	6.2%	Up from 3.9%	7.8%	8.4%

PRINCIPAL'S / SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL REPORT

The 2000 - 2001 schol year has been an exceptionally good year at Indian Land Elementary & Middle School. Not only did our students engage in a number of service activities, but they also performed well academically and artistically. As our motto states, we are meeting the challenges of tomorrow by helping students today.

This year, five teachers at Indian Land Elementary and Middle School worked toward achieving National Board Certification, and a mobile laptop computer lab was implemented. On the 2000 PACT, our students showed improvement in math and reading

at most grade levels. Our students participated in many service-learning projects, one of which was the Palmetto Garden. The "Reading Counts" program was expanded to

the elementary grades, and many of our students participated in the Governor's Reading Honor Roll program. In addition, an after-school enrichment program and a homework center were put into action. A parenting class was added in addition to the FAST (Families and Schools Together) program. Our PTA supported many campus improvements through many successful endeavors, such as our popular Spring Festival.

Our goals for the 2001 - 2002 school year include decreasing the number of students performing at the "below basic" level on PACT and increasing the number of students performing at the "proficient" and "advanced" levels on the PACT. Also, we will strive to meet the state's standard for volunteer hours, to establish playground areas that provide developmentally appropriate activities for all students, and to offer more exploratory classes for our middle school students. As an extension of our character education program, we will expand the "Chief Citizen Awards" program to the middle school.

*School Improvement Council, Indian Land Elementary & Middle School



Indian Land Elementary 4137 Doby's Bridge Road Fort Mill. SC 29715

Grades K-8 Elementary School

Enrollment: 859 Students

Principal

Mrs. Lydia Quinn 803-548-2916

Superintendent

John S. Taylor 803-286-6972

Board Chair

Robert K. Folks 803-416-8806

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Annual Sch	nool
Report Car	d

2001

School Grade: Below Average

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS AND STUDENTS

EVALUATIONS DI TEAGNERS AND STODENTS			
Percent	Teachers	Students	Parents
Satisfied with learning environment	64.0	66.7	(Avail. 2002)
Satisfied with social and physical environment	65.3	75.3	
Satisfied with home-school relations	72.9	83.8	

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

Excellent – School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal.

Good – School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal.

Average – School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal.

Below Average – School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal.

Unsatisfactory – School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal.

1

South Carolina Performance Goal:

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the five fastest improving systems in the country.

For more information, visit our website at www.myscschools.com